

SÖDERTÖRNS HÖGSKOLA | STOCKHOLM sh.se

TRANSWEL

Mobile Welfare in a Transnational Europe: An Analysis of Portability Regimes of Social Security Rights

Maarja Saar, Ann Runfors, Florence Fröhlig

The scope of the project

- The TRANSWEL project analyses the policies, practices and limitations of portability
- Four country pairs: Hungary–Austria, Bulgaria–Germany, Poland–UK and Estonia–Sweden.
- Almost 18 million people residing in a different EU member state than the one they were born in
- The special legal status of EU migrants creates policy challenges (ECJ law vs. country regulations)
- Four aspects of social security: health insurance, unemployment benefit and family benefit, state pensions



General results from policy analysis

- Three main types of condition shaping EU migrants access (portability) to social security:
 - contributions requirements
 - residency conditions
 - institutional practices
- Three types of problems:
 - formal procedural requirements for accessing benefits
 - administrative discretion, especially in the interpretation of residency rules
 - differences between social security systems

Swedish-Estonian case

- Complex, challenging and opaque procedures in all Swedish policy areas
- Personal Identification Number (PIN) functions as a barrier
- Both systems residency based and disadvantage highly mobile migrants
- Estonian unemployment benefits beneficial for return migrants

Migrants experiences in Sweden

- Big semi-legal market (especially in construction), illegal jobs in homeservice
 - Results in challenges in accessing social benefits
 - Additionally migrants do not know their rights
- Central importance of PIN- benefits work or residency related
- Accessing benefits works once people have PIN

More on migrants experiences

- Problems in accessing benefits in untypical case
- Problems in porting the benefits in transnational cases
- Differences between Russian-speakers and Estonianspeakers in Sweden
- Language issues
- The idea of self-reliance (especially in the case of health care)



Conclusions

- Contribution centred system in Sweden makes it difficult to access the country legally
- Complex procedures pose problems for migrants and for officials
- The problems in transnational communication between EST-SWE
- Neoliberal ideology and low levels of benefits in EST discourage migrants to apply